1 I. 2 JURISDICTION 3 This action is brought pursuant to the Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. 4 §2671 et seq. Jurisdiction is founded on 29 U.S.C. §§1346(b). 5 II. 6 **VENUE** 7 Venue is proper in the Central District of California. The motor 8 vehicle accident giving rise to this complaint occurred near 114th St. & 9 Central Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90059, which is within the present judicial 10 district. Plaintiff also resides within this judicial district. 11 III. 12 **PARTIES** 13 Plaintiffs NATHAN GARRETT and JONATHAN NELSON, 14 (hereafter "PLAINTIFFS") are and at all relevant times were residents of Los 15 Angeles County, California. 16 At all times relevant herein, the Defendant UNITED STATES OF 17 AMERICA is a governmental agency. 18 IV. 19 **FACTS COMMON TO ALL ACTIONS** 20 On or about June 28, 2021 Plaintiffs were lawfully operating their 5. 21 vehicle on Central Ave. near 114th. Defendants' employee, operating a U.S. 22 Postal Service mail-delivery truck, operated his vehicle on Central Ave. and 23 negligently merged into Plaintiffs' lane of travel without caution and in such 24 an unsafe manner that it violently collided into Plaintiffs' vehicle. 25 On said date, Defendants' employee drove carelessly, negligently and 26 with extreme recklessness, including, but not limited to, negligently merging 27 into Plaintiffs' lane of travel without caution and in an unsafe manner. 28

- 7. In negligently merging into Plaintiffs' lane of travel, Defendants' employee carelessly and negligently struck Plaintiffs' vehicle as it traveled on Central Ave..
- 8. On December 27, 2021, Plaintiffs submitted a claim based on the allegations herein to Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA for administrative settlement and has not been rejected by an agent for Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

<u>V.</u>

FIRST COUNT/CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT OPERATION OF A MOTOR VEHICLE

- 9. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 8, above as though fully set forth herein.
- 10. On June 28, 2021, a U.S. Postal Service mail-delivery truck was operated by Defendants' agent or employee as he was in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
- 11. Defendants' employee was driving negligently and carelessly, including but not limited to, negligently merging into Plaintiffs' lane of travel without caution and in an unsafe manner.
- 12. Defendants and their agents and employees acted carelessly, recklessly, unskillfully, unlawfully, tortiously, wantonly and wrongfully entrusted, permitted, managed, serviced, repaired, inspected, maintained, operated, controlled, and drove the U.S. Postal Service Truck as to proximately cause the same to collide against the vehicle which Plaintiffs were then operating, as aforesaid, thereby proximately causing the injuries and damages hereinafter mentioned.
- 13. Defendants' employee was also negligent in failing to keep attentive as to his whereabouts and oncoming traffic. Said Defendants knew or should have known that there was oncoming traffic and failing to safely merge into

an adjacent lane would be unsafe, all of which negligence, carelessness and recklessness constituted the proximate cause of him striking Plaintiffs' vehicle.

- 14. As a proximate result of each and all of the aforesaid acts and omissions of the Defendants, Plaintiffs were injured about their bodies and its members and were rendered sick, sore, lame and disabled, and was injured in health, strength and activity, a portion of said injuries being permanent. As a result of said injuries, Plaintiffs have had, and in the future will have, physical, mental and emotional pain, suffering, worry and anxiety.
- 15. As a proximate result of each and all of the aforesaid acts and omissions of the Defendants, Plaintiffs suffered grave and serious mental anguish, fear, anxiety and illness, a portion of said injuries being permanent. As a proximate result of said injuries and damages, Plaintiffs have had, and in the future will have, physical, mental and emotional pain, suffering, worry and anxiety.
- 16. By reason of said injuries, Plaintiffs have incurred, and probably will incur in the future, hospital, surgical, ambulance, medical, nursing and household expenses, all to his further damage.
- 17. By reason of said injuries, Plaintiffs were unable to do their usual work for a period of time, have been unable to do a portion of their work since that time, will be partially disabled in the future and have sustained damage to their future earning capacity, all to this damages, according to proof.
- 18. By reason of said injuries, Plaintiffs have sustained damage to their future earning capacity, all to their further damages, according to proof.
- 19. As a proximate result of each and all of the aforesaid acts and omissions of the Defendants, Plaintiffs' vehicle sustained damage, according to proof.

28 | ///

By reason of said collision, Plaintiffs were deprived of the use of a 1 2 vehicle for a period of time, all to Plaintiff's further damage, according to proof. 3 4 VI. 5 **PRAYER** 6 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand the following relief, jointly and 7 severally, against all the Defendants; For damages for injuries sustained due to the negligence of Defendant 8 a) 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA's agents and employees, including past 10 and future medical expenses, lost wages, loss of earning capacity, pain and 11 suffering, mental anguish, and all other appropriate damages resulting from 12 their injuries. 13 Costs of suit necessarily incurred herein; and b) 14 Such further relief as the Court deems just or proper. c) 15 16 DATED: July 8, 2022 DOWNTOWN L.A. LA **GROUP** 17 18 19 Leor Fradkin, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs, 20 NATHAN GARRETT and 21 JONATHAN NELSON 22 ///23 /// 24 /// 25 26 /// 27 /// 28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff demands trial by jury of all issues so triable. DATED: July 8, 2022 **DOWNTOWN L.A. LA GROUP** Igør Fradkin, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs, NATHAN GARRETT and JONATHAN NELSON